

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/599,499	KAZIMIERCZUK ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 71

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Matthias Scholl {Reg. No. 54,947}. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** July 24, 2008

**Time:** 2:35pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Applicant's representative and left a voice mail message detailing the problem with claim 20. The outgoing voice mail message indicated that a return telephone call would be made in 3 business days. As of the time of the Office Action in which this Interview Summary is attached, no return telephone call has been received.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

